# **Distributed CPU Protection**

### In This Chapter

This section describes Distributed CPU Protection (DCP) configurations.

Topics in this section include:

- Applicability on page 1934
- Overview on page 1935
- Configuration on page 1936
- Conclusion on page 1958

## Applicability

This Distributed CPU Protection (DCP) configuration example was created using the 7750 SR-c12 platform but is equally applicable to the following platforms: 7750 SR-7/12, 7450 ESS-6/7/12, 7750 SR-c4/c12 and 7950 XRS. DCP is not supported on the 7750 SR-1, 7450 ESS-1 or 7710 SR platforms.

DCP operates on the line cards and requires line cards with the FP2 or greater hardware (for example, IOM3-XP, IMMs and C-XMAs).

The configuration was tested on release 11.0R1.

### **Overview**

SR OS provides several rate limiting mechanisms to protect the CPM/CFM processing resources of the router:

- CPU Protection: A centralized rate limiting function that operates on the CPM to limit traffic destined to the CPUs.
- Distributed CPU Protection: A control traffic rate limiting protection mechanism for the CPM/CFM that operates on the line cards (hence 'distributed'). CPU protection protects the CPU of the node that it is configured on from a DOS attack by limiting the amount of traffic coming in from one of its ports and destined to the CPM (to be processed by its CPU) using a combination of the configurable limits.

The goal of this example is to familiarize the reader with the configuration and use of Distributed CPU Protection. A simple and controlled setup is used to illustrate how the protection behaves and how to use the tools provided for the feature.

External testing equipment ("tester") is used to send control traffic of various protocols at various rates to the router in order to exercise DCP. Log events and show routines are examined to explain the indications that the router provides to an operator.

### Configuration

The test topology is shown in Figure 299. A Gigabit Ethernet link is used between the Tester and the router.





**Step 1.** The basic configuration of the mda, port, interface and a security event log on the router is shown below.

\*A:PE-1# configure card 1 mda 1 \*A:PE-1>config>card>mda# info \_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_ mda-type m5-1gb-sfp-b no shutdown \_\_\_\_\_ \*A:PE-1>config>card>mda# exit all \*A:PE-1# configure port 1/1/4 \*A:PE-1>config>port# info \_\_\_\_\_ ethernet exit no shutdown \_\_\_\_\_ \*A:PE-1>config>port# exit all \*A:PE-1# configure router interface "int-pel-to-tester" \*A:PE-1>config>router>if# info \_\_\_\_\_ address 192.168.10.1/24 port 1/1/4 no shutdown \_\_\_\_\_ \*A:PE-1>config>router>if# exit all \*A:PE-1# configure log log-id 15 \*A:PE-1>config>log>log-id# info ----from security to memory 1024 \_\_\_\_\_

This example was developed on a 7750 SR-c12 platform but it is equally applicable to other platforms such as the 7750 SR-7/12. If other platforms, such as the 7750 SR-7/12 that support centralized CPU Protection, are used to explore Distributed CPU Protection then the centralized CPU Protection should be disabled (for the purposes of this example) so that it does not interfere with reproducing the same results as described below. In a normal production network CPU Protection and DCP are complimentary and can be used together. To disable centralized CPU Protection for the purposes of reproducing the results below please ensure that:

- protocol-protection is disabled.
- All rates in all polices (including any default polices) are configure to max.

Step 2. In order to activate DCP a policy is created and assigned to the interface.

The first policy that is used in this example is used to simply count protocol packets to see that they are indeed flowing from the tester to the router and being extracted and indentified.

The *dcp-policy-count* policy is configured as follows:

```
*A:PE-1# configure system security dist-cpu-protection
*A:PE-1>config>sys>security>dist-cpu-protection# info
_____
              policy "dcp-policy-count" create
                 description "Static policers with rate 0 for counting packets"
                  static-policer "sp-arp" create
                     rate packets 0 within 1
                  exit
                  static-policer "sp-icmp" create
                     rate packets 0 within 1
                  exit
                  static-policer "sp-igmp" create
                    rate packets 0 within 1
                  exit
                  protocol arp create
                    enforcement static "sp-arp"
                  exit
                  protocol icmp create
                     enforcement static "sp-icmp"
                  exi+
                  protocol igmp create
                     enforcement static "sp-igmp"
                  exit
              exit
```

For the *dcp-policy-count policy* configuration:

- The policy contains three static policers: *sp-arp*, *sp-icmp* and *sp-igmp*. These policers are then used by the three configured protocols that are part of the policy: *arp*, *icmp* and *igmp*.
- The list of protocols that are applicable to DCP are as follows: arp, dhcp, http-redirect, icmp, igmp, mld, ndis, pppoe-pppoa, all-unspecified, mpls-ttl, bfd-cpm, bgp, eth-cfm, isis, ldp, ospf, pim and rsvp. The all-unspecified protocol is a special "catch-all". Please see the 7750 SR OS System Management Guide for more details.
- This policy instantiates three permanent (static) policers for every object (for example, interface) that the policy is associated with.
- The three protocols each reference their own static policer so each protocol will be independently rate limited. A single static policer can also be used to rate limit multiple protocols but that capability is not used in this example.
- The rate is set to 0 which means all packets will be considered as non-conformant to the policer. This configuration is used to provide counters of protocol packets. The DCP counters provide the count of packets exceeding the policing parameters since the given policer was previously declared as conformant or newly instantiated. A rate of zero ensures that the policer will never be declared as conformant and hence will never reset the counters.
- The exceed-action is not configured and takes the default value of *none*. The *log-events* parameter is not configured and is enabled by default. That means the policer will notify the operator when the first packet arrives but will not discard or mark any packets.

**Step 3.** Assign the *dcp-policy-count* to the interface:

\*A·PE-1# show system cou

```
*A:PE-1# configure router interface "int-pel-to-tester"
*A:PE-1>config>router>if# dist-cpu-protection "dcp-policy-count"
```

**Step 4.** Examine some log and status on the router to get a baseline (no traffic is flowing from the tester to the router at this point). Notice that the cpu utilization is fairly low with an overall Idle of 96% and no task groups at more than 5% capacity usage. Future example output from this show routine will be snipped to only show relevant and interesting lines.

CPU Utilization (Sample period: 1 second)				
BFD	0	0.00%	0.00%	
BGP	28,779	0.32%	0.47%	
BGP PE-CE	0	0.00%	0.00%	
CFLOWD	7,384	0.08%	0.38%	
Cards & Ports	65,941	0.73%	5.35%	
DHCP Server	55	~0.00%	~0.00%	
ICC	1,195	0.01%	0.06%	
IGMP/MLD	1,883	0.02%	0.12%	

IMSI Db Appl	120	~0.00%	~0.00%
IOM	132,522	1.47%	3.11%
IP Stack	7,666	0.08%	0.39%
IS-IS	1,415	0.01%	0.07%
ISA	11,988	0.13%	0.43%
LDP	496	~0.00%	0.04%
Logging	185	~0.00%	0.01%
MBUF	0	0.00%	0.00%
MPLS/RSVP	6,219	0.06%	0.48%
MSCP	0	0.00%	0.00%
MSDP	0	0.00%	0.00%
Management	4,077	0.04%	0.13%
OAM	10,311	0.11%	0.44%
OSPF	661	~0.00%	0.05%
PIM	0	0.00%	0.00%
RIP	0	0.00%	0.00%
RTM/Policies	0	0.00%	0.00%
Redundancy	7,641	0.08%	0.51%
SNMP Daemon	0	0.00%	0.00%
Services	3,965	0.04%	0.09%
Stats	0	0.00%	0.00%
Subscriber Mgmt	7,437	0.08%	0.44%
System	57,081	0.63%	3.49%
Traffic Eng	0	0.00%	0.00%
VRRP	1,918	0.02%	0.09%
WEB Redirect	77	~0.00%	~0.00%
Total	8,965,427	100.00%	
Idle	8,605,657	95.98%	
Usage	359,770	4.01%	
Busiest Core Utilization	134,481	13.49%	

#### The DCP feature is reporting no violations for interfaces on card 1.

#### There are no security log events.

The detailed DCP status for the interface shows all three policers are currently in the conform state.

\*A:PE-1# show router interface "int-pel-to-tester" dist-cpu-protection \_\_\_\_\_ Interface "int-pel-to-tester" (Router: Base) \_\_\_\_\_ Distributed CPU Protection Policy : dcp-policy-count \_\_\_\_\_ Statistics/Policer-State Information \_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_ Static Policer \_\_\_\_\_ Policer-Name : sp-arp Card/FP : 1/1 Policer-State : Conform Protocols Mapped : arp Exceed-Count : 0 Detec. Time Remain : 0 seconds Hold-Down Remain. : none Policer-Name : sp-icmp Card/FP : 1/1 Policer-State : Conform Protocols Mapped : icmp Exceed-Count : 0 Detec. Time Remain : 0 seconds Hold-Down Remain. : none Policer-Name : sp-igmp Card/FP · 1/1 Card/FP : 1/1 Protocols Mapped : igmp Exceed-Count : 0 Policer-State : Conform Detec. Time Remain : 0 seconds Hold-Down Remain. : none \_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_ Local-Monitoring Policer \_\_\_\_\_ No entries found \_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_ Dynamic-Policer (Protocol) \_\_\_\_\_ No entries found \_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_ **Step 5.** Configure the tester to send ARP, ICMP and IGMP traffic to the router using the following rates:

- ARP: 2 packets per second (pps)
- ICMP: 4 pps
- IGMP: 8 pps

Here are some tips for how to configure the tester to send protocol packets that will be recognized by the router:

- ARP:
  - $\rightarrow$  Set the MAC destination address to FF-FF-FF-FF-FF
  - $\rightarrow$  Use an ARP Request format
- ICMP:
  - $\rightarrow$  Use an icmp type of 8 (echo request, such as **ping**).
  - → Set the MAC destination address equal to the MAC address of the receiving port. The MAC address of port 1/1/4 can be seen in the output of show port 1/1/4 as the Configured Address.
  - $\rightarrow$  Set the IP destination address to 192.168.10.1 and the IP source address to 192.168.10.2.
- IGMP:
  - → Set the MAC destination address equal to the MAC address of the receiving port. The MAC address of port 1/1/4 can be seen in the output of show port 1/1/4 as the Configured Address.
  - $\rightarrow$  Set the IP destination address to 224.0.0.2 and the IP source address to 0.0.0.0.
  - → Set the IGMP version to 2, make the IGMP message type a Membership Query to Group 0.

Also ensure that the tester interleaves the three streams of protocol packets such that it schedules them independently in an interleaved fashion, not serially.



Figure 300: Count Traffic with DCP Policy Count

**Step 6.** Notice that DCP now reports some violations of the policy against the interface.

*A:PE-1# tools dump securit	ty dist-cpu-protection violat	ors enforcement interface card 1
Distributed Cpu Protection	Current Interface Enforcer P	olicer Violators
Interface	Policer/Protocol	Hld Rem
Violators on Slot-1 Fp-1		
int-pel-to-tester	sp-arp	[S] none
int-pel-to-tester	sp-icmp sp-igmp	[S] none [S] none
[S]-Static [D]-Dynamic [M]-	-Monitor	

After a few seconds the DCP exceed-count values can be seen incrementing.

Note the following details:

- Exceed-Count is non-zero. This will continue incrementing and will never reset since the rate configured in the DCP policy is zero.
- The Policer-State is Exceed. The policers have detected that the protocol is nonconformant to the configured rate.
- Detec. Time Remain stays at 29 seconds. This countdown timer is automatically reset to 30 seconds every time a policer is detected as non-conformant (which will be continually when the rate is set to 0 and packets of that protocol are being received).

```
*A:PE-1# show router interface "int-pel-to-tester" dist-cpu-protection
_____
Interface "int-pel-to-tester" (Router: Base)
_____
Distributed CPU Protection Policy : dcp-policy-count
  _____
Statistics/Policer-State Information
_____
_____
Static Policer
_____
Policer-Name : sp-arp
Card/FP : 1/1
           : 1/1
                       Policer-State : Exceed
Protocols Mapped : arp
Exceed-Count : 72
                       Hold-Down Remain. : none
Detec. Time Remain : 29 seconds
Policer-Name : sp-icmp
Card/FP : 1/1
                       Policer-State : Exceed
Protocols Mapped : icmp
Exceed-Count : 144
Detec. Time Remain : 29 seconds
                       Hold-Down Remain. : none
Policer-Name : sp-igmp
Card/FP : 1/1
Card/FP : 1/1
Protocols Mapped : igmp
Exceed-Count : 290
                       Policer-State : Exceed
Detec. Time Remain : 29 seconds Hold-Down Remain. : none
_____
[snip]
```

**Step 7.** Keep the tester running.

Now a DCP policy that enforces protocol rates using static policers will be applied to the interface. First, the policy is created:

```
*A:PE-1# configure system security dist-cpu-protection
*A:PE-1>config>sys>security>dist-cpu-protection# policy "dcp-static-policy-1" create
                   description "Static policers for arp, icmp and igmp"
                    static-policer "sp-arp" create
                       rate packets 10 within 1
                       exceed-action discard
                    exit
                    static-policer "sp-icmp" create
                       rate packets 20 within 1
                       exceed-action discard
                    exit
                    static-policer "sp-igmp" create
                       rate packets 10 within 1
                        exceed-action discard
                    exit
                    protocol arp create
                       enforcement static "sp-arp"
                    exit
                    protocol icmp create
                     enforcement static "sp-icmp"
                    exit
                    protocol igmp create
```

```
enforcement static "sp-igmp"
exit
exit
```

For the dcp-static-policy-1 policy configuration, note that a few parameters are different than in the previously created dcp-policy-cou*nt* policy:

- The rates are set to low (but non-zero) values.
- The exceed-action is configured such that packets are dropped once the rate is exceeded.

Now assign the policy to the test interface:

```
*A:PE-1# configure router interface "int-pel-to-tester"
*A:PE-1>config>router>if# dist-cpu-protection "dcp-static-policy-1"
*A:PE-1>config>router>if# exit all
*A:PE-1# show system security dist-cpu-protection policy "dcp-static-policy-1" association
_____
Distributed CPU Protection Policy
 _____
                ______
Policy Name : dcp-static-policy-1
Description : Static policers for arp, icmp and igmp
_____
Associations
_____
         _____
SAP associations
_____
None
Managed SAP associations
_____
None
Interface associations
_____
Router-Name : Base
int-pel-to-tester
 _____
Number of interfaces : 1
_____
```





Figure 301: Limit Traffic with dcp-static-policy-1

**Step 9.** Notice that the system has identified a violation of the DCP rates for the igmp policer.

\*A:PE-1# tools dump security dist-cpu-protection violators enforcement interface card 1 \_\_\_\_\_ Distributed Cpu Protection Current Interface Enforcer Policer Violators \_\_\_\_\_ Interface Policer/Protocol Hld Rem \_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_ Violators on Slot-1 Fp-1 \_\_\_\_\_ int-pel-to-tester sp-igmp [S] none \_\_\_\_\_ [S]-Static [D]-Dvnamic [M]-Monitor \_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_

After a few minutes the violation will be indicated as a log event. This delay is due to the design of DCP. In order to support large scale operation of DCP, and also to avoid overload conditions, a polling process is used to monitor state changes in the policers and to gather violations. This means there can be a delay between when an event occurs in the data plane and when the relevant state change or event notification occurs towards an operator, but in the meantime the policers are still operating and protecting the control plane.

```
Memory Log contents [size=1024 next event=11 (not wrapped)]
10 2013/04/18 17:31:54.58 EDT WARNING: SECURITY #2066 Base DCPUPROT
"Non conformant network_if "int-pel-to-tester" on fp 1/1 detected at 04/18/2013 17:31:33.
Policy "dcp-static-policy-1". Policer="sp-igmp"(static). Excd count=135"
... [snip] ...
```

The status of DCP on the interface also shows the igmp policer as being in an Exceed state:

*A:PE-1# show router interface "int-pel-to-tester" dist-cpu-protection			
Interface "int-pel-	to-tester" (Router:	Base)	
Distributed CPU Pro	etection Policy : de	cp-static-policy-1	
Statistics/Policer-	State Information		
Static Policer			
Policer-Name Card/FP Protocols Mapped Exceed-Count	: sp-arp : 1/1 : arp : 0	Policer-State	: Conform
Detec. Time Remain	: 0 seconds	Hold-Down Remain.	: none
Policer-Name Card/FP Protocols Mapped Exceed-Count	: sp-icmp : 1/1 : icmp : 0	Policer-State	: Conform
Detet. IIMe Remain	: U Seconds	HOIQ-DOWN Remain.	: none
Policer-Name Card/FP Protocols Mapped Exceed-Count	: sp-igmp : 1/1 : igmp : 19031	Policer-State	: Exceed
Detec. Time Remain	: 29 seconds	Hold-Down Remain.	: none
[snip]			

The CPU utilization of the IGMP task group is not impacted since DCP is discarding packets that are non-conformant to the configure rate.

*A:PE-1# show system cpu				
Name	CPU Time (uSec)	CPU Usage	Capacity Usage	
BFD [snip]	0	0.00%	0.00%	
IGMP/MLD	1,883	0.02%	0.12%	
IMSI Db Appl	120	~0.00%	~0.00%	
IOM	132,522	1.47%	3.11%	
IP Stack	7,666	0.08%	0.39%	

IS-IS	1,415	0.01%	0.07%
ISA	11,988	0.13%	0.43%
LDP	496	~0.00%	0.04%
[snip] WEB Redirect	77	~0.00%	~0.00%
Total	8,965,427	100.00%	
Idle	8,605,657	95.98%	
Usage	359,770	4.01%	
Busiest Core Utilization	134,481	13.49%	

# **Step 10.** Remove the DCP policy from the interface and see the CPU utilization goes up for the IGMP task group.

\*A:PE-1# configure router interface "int-pel-to-tester" \*A:PE-1>config>router>if# no dist-cpu-protection \*A:PE-1>config>router>if# /show system cpu \_\_\_\_\_ CPU Utilization (Sample period: 1 second) \_\_\_\_\_ CPU Time CPU Usage Capacity (uSec) Usage Name \_\_\_\_\_ 0.00% BFD 0 0.00% ...[snip]... 

 82,142
 0.91%
 8.14%

 98
 ~0.00%
 ~0.00%

 129,851
 1.45%
 3.15%

 196,549
 2.19%
 19.35%

 1,484
 0.01%
 0.07%

 11,765
 0.13%
 0.42%

 449
 ~0.00%
 0.04%

 IGMP/MLD IMSI Db Appl IOM IP Stack IS-IS TSA T,DP ...[snip]... WEB Redirect 102 ~0.00% 0.01% \_\_\_\_\_ 
 Total
 8,948,806
 100.00%

 Idle
 8,259,903
 92.30%

 Usage
 688,903
 7.69%

 Busiest Core Utilization
 210,435
 21.16%
 \_\_\_\_\_

**Step 11.** Increase the rate of IGMP traffic from the tester to 5000 pps. See the CPU utilization increase further.

*A:PE-1# show system cpu 				
BFD [snip]	0	0.00%	0.00%	
IGMP/MLD	417,124	4.65%	41.78%	
IMSI Db Appl	82	~0.00%	~0.00%	
IOM	133,029	1.48%	2.92%	

#### Configuration

IP Stack	935,491	10.43%	93.45%
IS-IS	1,343	0.01%	0.06%
ISA	12,350	0.13%	0.45%
LDP	394	~0.00%	0.03%
[snip]			
WEB Redirect	116	~0.00%	0.01%
 Total	8,966,128	 100.00%	
Idle	6,972,962	77.77%	
Usage	1,993,166	22.22%	
Busiest Core Utilization	484,748	48.65%	

#### **Step 12.** Reinstall the DCP policy to the interface and see the CPU utilization drop.

CPU Utilization (Sample period: 1 second)

Name	CPU Time (uSec)	CPU Usage	Capacity Usage
 BFD	0	0.00%	0.00%
[snip]			
IGMP/MLD	2,058	0.02%	0.10%
IMSI Db Appl	48	~0.00%	~0.00%
IOM	135,148	1.50%	3.04%
IP Stack	7,851	0.08%	0.47%
IS-IS	1,398	0.01%	0.07%
ISA	11,730	0.13%	0.43%
LDP	299	~0.00%	0.02%
[snip]			
WEB Redirect	71	~0.00%	~0.00%
Total	8,975,262	100.00%	
Idle	8,611,593	95.94%	
Usage	363,669	4.05%	
Busiest Core Utilization	136,669	13.70%	

**Step 13.** Stop the tester from sending packets, wait a few minutes and then note the status of the system.

There are no longer any violations of any enforcement policers on any interfaces on card 1.

[S]-Static [D]-Dynamic [M]-Monitor

\_\_\_\_\_

The IGMP policer is indicated as conformant in the log events.

```
"Network_if "int-pel-to-tester" on fp 1/1 newly conformant at 04/18/2013 17:41:57:27. Pol-
icy "dcp-static-policy-1". Policer="sp-igmp"(static). Excd count=316418"
```

...[snip]...

The interface DCP details show all policers as conformant.

```
*A:PE-1# show router interface "int-pel-to-tester" dist-cpu-protection
   _____
Interface "int-pel-to-tester" (Router: Base)
_____
Distributed CPU Protection Policy : dcp-static-policy-1
  _____
Statistics/Policer-State Information
_____
_____
Static Policer
 _____
Policer-Name : sp-arp
Card/FP : 1/1
                      Policer-State : Conform
Protocols Mapped : arp
Exceed-Count : 0
Detec. Time Remain : 0 seconds Hold-Down Remain. : none
Policer-Name : sp-icmp
Card/FP : 1/1
                       Policer-State : Conform
Protocols Mapped : icmp
Exceed-Count : 0
Detec. Time Remain : 0 seconds Hold-Down Remain. : none
Policer-Name : sp-igmp
Card/FP : 1/1
Card/FP : 1/1
Protocols Mapped : igmp
Exceed-Count : 0
                       Policer-State : Conform
Detec. Time Remain : 0 seconds
                       Hold-Down Remain. : none
_____
...[snip]...
```

An optional hold-down can be used in the configuration of the exceed-action of the policers in order to apply the exceed-action for a defined period (even if the policer goes conformant again during that period). The hold-down could be used, for example, to discard all packets associated with a policer for one hour after a violation is detected. An "indefinite" period is also supported which enforces discard or marking until the operator clears the policer with the **tools perform security dist-cpu-protection release-hold-down** command.

Step 14. The next scenario explored in this example is the use of DCP dynamic enforcement.

In order to use dynamic enforcement policers, a number of dynamic policers must be allocated to the DCP pool for the particular card being used.

The number allocated should be greater than the maximum number of dynamic policers expected to be in use on the card at one time. A conservative (large) number could be selected at first, and then the following show command can give data to help tune the pool to a smaller size over time:

If the dynamic-enforcement-policer-pool is too small then when a local-monitoring-policer detects violating traffic, the dynamic enforcement policers will not be able to be instantiated. A log event will warn the operator when the pool is nearly exhausted.

A sample dynamic enforcement policy is created as follows:

```
protocol arp create
   enforcement dynamic "local-mon"
   dynamic-parameters
       rate packets 20 within 10
       exceed-action discard
   exit
exit
protocol icmp create
   enforcement dynamic "local-mon"
   dynamic-parameters
       rate packets 20 within 10
       exceed-action discard
    exit
exit
protocol igmp create
   enforcement dynamic "local-mon"
   dynamic-parameters
       rate packets 20 within 10
       exceed-action discard
   exit
exit
protocol all-unspecified create
   enforcement dynamic "local-mon"
   dynamic-parameters
       rate packets 100 within 10
       exceed-action discard
   exit
exit
```

For the *dcp-dynamic-policy-1* policy configuration:

- The policy contains no static policers. Per-protocol enforcement policers will be instantiated dynamically but only if triggered by a violation of the local-monitoring-policer.
- A local-monitoring-policer is configured for the policy. The configured rate determines the rate of arriving protocol packets at which the policy will trigger the automatic instantiation of dynamic per-protocol policers for the interface.
- Four protocols are configured and they are all associated with the local-monitoringpolicer. The all-unspecified protocol will include all other extracted control packets on the interface.
- Each protocol has its own configured dynamic rates that will be used by the dynamic enforcement policers if they are instantiated. Note these rates are lower than previous scenarios (the **within** parameter is 10 seconds instead of 1 second).
- When this DCP policy is associated with an interface, only a single policer (the localmonitoring-policer) will be instantiated (statically/permanently). The per-protocol dynamic policers are only instantiated when there is a violation of the local-monitoringpolicer.

The policy is then associated with the interface:

```
*A:PE-1# configure router interface "int-pel-to-tester"
*A:PE-1>config>router>if# dist-cpu-protection "dcp-dynamic-policy-1"
```

**Step 15.** Configure the tester to send:

- 1pps of ARP
- 4pps of ICMP
- 1000pps of IGMP

Start the tester.



Figure 302: Dynamic Policing – Local Monitor

In Figure 302, the dynamic policers have not been instantiated yet.

**Step 16.** The local-monitoring-policer will become non-conforming since the aggregate arrival rate of arp+icmp+igmp+all-unspecified packets is greater than the configured local-monitoring-policer rate of 100 packets within 10 seconds. Dynamic enforcement policers will then be instantiated.



Figure 303: Dynamic Policers Instantiated

The ICMP and IGMP dynamic policers will see violations since their dynamic rates are being exceeded.

\*A:PE-1# tools dump security dist-cpu-protection violators enforcement interface card 1 \_\_\_\_\_ Distributed Cpu Protection Current Interface Enforcer Policer Violators \_\_\_\_\_ Interface Policer/Protocol Hld Rem \_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_ Violators on Slot-1 Fp-1 \_\_\_\_\_ int-pel-to-tester icmp [D] none int-pel-to-tester [D] none igmp \_\_\_\_\_ [S]-Static [D]-Dynamic [M]-Monitor \_\_\_\_\_ 

The arp and all-unspecified dynamic policers were instantiated but will be counting down their detection time (if this show command is issued within 30 seconds of the attack starting).

\*A:PE-1# show router interface "int-pel-to-tester" dist-cpu-protection \_\_\_\_\_ Interface "int-pel-to-tester" (Router: Base) \_\_\_\_\_ Distributed CPU Protection Policy : dcp-dynamic-policy-1 \_\_\_\_\_ Statistics/Policer-State Information \_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_ Static Policer \_\_\_\_\_ No entries found \_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_ Local-Monitoring Policer \_\_\_\_\_ -----Policer-Name : local-mon Card/FP : 1/1 Policer-State : Exceed Protocols Mapped : arp, icmp, igmp, all-unspecified : 1097 Exceed-Count All Dyn-Plcr Alloc. : True \_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_ Dynamic-Policer (Protocol) \_\_\_\_\_ Protocol(Dyn-Plcr) : arp Card/FP : 1/1 Exceed-Count : 0 Protocol-State : Conform Detec. Time Remain : 5 seconds Hold-Down Remain. : none Dyn-Policer Alloc. : True Protocol(Dyn-Plcr) : icmp Card/FP : 1/1 Exceed-Count : 31 Protocol-State : Exceed

```
Detec. Time Remain : 28 seconds Hold-Down Remain. : none
Dyn-Policer Alloc. : True
Protocol(Dyn-Plcr) : igmp
Card/FP : 1/1 Protocol-State : Exceed
Exceed-Count : 23867
Detec. Time Remain : 29 seconds Hold-Down Remain. : none
Dyn-Policer Alloc. : True
Protocol(Dyn-Plcr) : all-unspecified
Card/FP : 1/1 Protocol-State : Conform
Exceed-Count : 0
Detec. Time Remain : 5 seconds Hold-Down Remain. : none
Dyn-Policer Alloc. : True
```

After 30 seconds have passed, the "Detec. Time Remain" for arp and all-unspecified will simply read 0 (zero).

After a few minutes the log events will be collected indicating a non-conformance was seen.

**Step 17.** Stop the tester.

The dynamic policer detection timers will start counting down since they are no longer seeing violating packets.

\*A:PE-1# show router interface "int-pel-to-tester" dist-cpu-protection Interface "int-pel-to-tester" (Router: Base) Distributed CPU Protection Policy : dcp-dynamic-policy-1 Statistics/Policer-State Information Statist Policer

No entries found

```
Local-Monitoring Policer
 _____
Policer-Name : local-mon
Card/FP
             : 1/1
                           Policer-State
                                         : Exceed
Protocols Mapped : arp, icmp, igmp, all-unspecified
Exceed-Count : 1097
All Dyn-Plcr Alloc. : True
_____
_____
Dynamic-Policer (Protocol)
   _____
Protocol(Dyn-Plcr) : arp
Card/FP : 1/1
Exceed-Count : 0
                           Protocol-State : Conform
Detec. Time Remain : 0 seconds
                           Hold-Down Remain. : none
Dyn-Policer Alloc. : True
Protocol(Dyn-Plcr) : icmp
Card/FP : 1/1
Exceed-Count : 511
                           Protocol-State : Exceed
Detec. Time Remain : 14 seconds
                           Hold-Down Remain. : none
Dyn-Policer Alloc. : True
Protocol(Dyn-Plcr) : igmp
Card/FP : 1/1 Protocol-State : Excee
Exceed-Count : 345550
Detec. Time Remain : 18 seconds Hold-Down Remain. : none
                           Protocol-State : Exceed
Dyn-Policer Alloc. : True
Protocol(Dyn-Plcr) : all-unspecified
card/FP : 1/1
Exceed-Count : 0
Detec min -
                           Protocol-State : Conform
Detec. Time Remain : 0 seconds
                           Hold-Down Remain. : none
Dyn-Policer Alloc. : True
                    _____
_____
```

#### After 30 seconds there are no more violators.

The dynamic policer pool Hi-WaterMark for card 1 fp 1 shows 4 since the highest number of dynamic policers allocated at any one time on the card/fp was 4.

\*A:PE-1# show card 1 fp 1 dist-cpu-protection

```
Card : 1 Forwarding Plane(FP) : 1

Dynamic Enforcement Policer Pool : 1000

Statistics Information

Dynamic-Policers Currently In Use : 0

Hi-WaterMark Hit Count : 4

Hi-WaterMark Hit Time : 04/20/2013 08:52:22 UTC

Dynamic-Policers Allocation Fail Count : 0
```

A few minutes later the log events indicate that the flood has ended.

### Conclusion

Distributed CPU Protection (DCP) offers a powerful rate limiting function for control protocol traffic that is extracted from the data path and sent to the CPM.

This example has demonstrated how to configure DCP on an interface and what indications SR OS provides to the operator during a potential attack or misconfiguration.

DCP can also be deployed in scenarios where per-SAP-per-protocol rate limiting is useful, such as for subscriber management in a subscriber per-vlan scenario. A DCP policy can be assigned to an MSAP policy on a Broadband Network Gateway, for example, to limit traffic related to certain protocols and to discard certain protocols. When deployed in a subscriber management scenario, DCP can help isolate SAPs (subscribers) from each other and even isolate protocols from each other within an individual SAP (subscriber). Many of the same concepts introduced in this example are applicable when DCP is deployed in a subscriber management application.